City of Grand Haven

NPDES Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Illicit Discharge Elimination Plan

Prepared for:
The Lower Grand River Watershed

August 1, 2013
Project Nos. G120878

Fishbeck, Thompson, Carr & Huber
engineers • scientists • architects • constructors

LOWER GRAND MS4 COMMUNITIES IN OTTAWA COUNTY

ILLICIT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION PLAN

PREPARED FOR:

THE LOWER GRAND RIVER WATERSHED

AUGUST 1, 2013

PROJECT NO. G120878

Fishbeck, Thompson, Carr & Huber, Inc. Engineers • Scientists • Architects • Constructors
1515 Arboretum Drive, SE, Grand Rapids, MI 49546 Telephone: 616-575-3824

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF APPENDICES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/ACRONYMS

BMP	Best Management Practice
GVMC	Grand Valley Metropolitan Council
IDEP	Illicit Discharge Elimination Plan
OCRC	Ottawa County Road Commission
OCWRC	Ottawa County Water Resources Commissioner
LGRW	Lower Grand River Watershed
MDEQ	Michigan Department of Environmental Quality
MS4	Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems
OSDS	Onsite Sewage Disposal Systems
PEAS	Pollution Emergency Alert System
PEP	Public Education Plan
SSOs	Sanitary Sewer Overflows
SWPPI	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Initiative

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Illicit Discharge Elimination Plan (IDEP) has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the General Permit Application for Storm Water Discharges from Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) subject to watershed plan requirements. The IDEP is intended to prohibit and effectively eliminate illicit discharges to the MS4.

The IDEP is being implemented under a cooperative program administered by the Grand Valley Metropolitan Council (GVMC) and involving the county agencies and municipal units participating in the Watershed Approach.

The IDEP includes the following section headings:

- IDEP goals
- Legal authority
- Outfall and discharge point lists
- Identification and elimination of existing illicit discharges
    - Locating problem areas
    - Finding the source of illicit discharges
    - Removing/correcting illicit connections
- Minimizing seepage from septic systems and sanitary sewers
- Spill response procedures
- Preventive measures
- Documentation and reporting

2.0 IDEP GOALS

- Find, prioritize, and eliminate illicit discharges and illicit connections identified during dry-weather screening activities.
- Minimize infiltration of seepage from sanitary sewers and onsite sewage disposal systems (OSDS) into the MS4.
- Establish the legal authority for the community to eliminate illicit discharges found entering the MS4.
- Maintain a map of the MS4, point sources, and stormwater outfalls.
- Establish a system to document and report information regarding the IDEP including complaints, outfall screening, and illicit connections found and removed.
- Determine a method to evaluate the effectiveness of the illicit discharge elimination activities based on the watershed goals.

3.0 LEGAL AUTHORITY - IDEP ORDINANCES

Local ordinances, the Michigan Plumbing Code of 2000, the Michigan Drain Code of 1956, Michigan Act 451, and the Federal Clean Water Act provide the basic legal tools to implement the IDEP. Local ordinances effectively prohibit illicit connections and discharges; allow surveillance, monitoring, and inspections when needed; and provide enforcement authority and penalties.

An ordinance (or other regulatory mechanism where an ordinance is not feasible or appropriate) to effectively prohibit illicit discharges into the MS4 has been adopted by the following participating communities in the Lower Grand River Watershed (LGRW).

Participating Communities with an IDEP Ordinance or Regulatory Mechanism

Community	Illicit Discharge and Connection Ordinance Adoption Date
Allendale Charter Township	May 10, 2004
Cascade Charter Township	June 23, 2004
East Grand Rapids, City of	September 19, 2005
Ferrysburg, City of	September 7, 2004
Georgetown Charter Township	August 12, 2002
Grand Haven, City of	February 5, 2007
Grand Rapids Charter Township	January 6, 2004
Grand Rapids, City of	July 2001
Grandville, City of	September 26, 2005
Hudsonville, City of	December 14, 2004
Kentwood, City of	October 24, 2004
Kent County Administration and Drain Commissioner	Regulatory mechanism in place
Kent County Road Commission	Regulatory mechanism in place
Plainfield Charter Township	November 6, 2000
Rockford, City of	August 8, 2005
Sparta, Village of	September 13, 2004
Spring Lake, Village of	January 16, 2006
Walker, City of	March 28, 2003
Wyoming, City of	October 3, 2005

Each ordinance or other regulatory mechanism:

- Regulates the contribution of pollutants to the MS4, owned by the permittee.
- Prohibits illicit discharges, including the direct dumping or disposal of materials, into the MS4, owned by the permittee.
- Establishes the authority to investigate, inspect, and monitor suspected illicit discharges into the MS4, owned by the permittee.
- Requires elimination of illicit discharges and connections into the MS4, owned by the permittee.

The Ottawa County Road Commission (OCRC) and the Ottawa County Water Resources Commissioner (OCWRC) do not have ordinance authority; however, both agencies have regulatory mechanisms to address illicit discharges.

The OCWRC has broad authority to control water pollution in county drains provided by the state Drain Code of 1956. The following are pertinent excerpts.

> The Michigan Drain Code states:
> *Sec. 423. (1) A person shall not continue to discharge or permit to be discharged into any county drain or intercounty drain of the state any sewage or waste matter capable of producing in the drain detrimental deposits, objectionable odor nuisance, injury to drainage conduits or structures, or capable of producing such pollution of the waters of the state receiving the flow from the drains as to injure livestock, destroy fish life, or be injurious to public health.*
>
> *(10) Failure to comply with any of the provisions of this section subjects the offender to the penalties described in section 602.*
>
> *Sec. 602. If any person shall willfully or maliciously remove any section or grade stake set along the line of any drain, or obstruct or injure any drain, he shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not exceeding $100.00 and the costs of prosecution, or in default of the payment thereof, by imprisonment in the county jail not exceeding 90 days.*

The OCRC has limited authority under state law to control water pollution in statutory road right-of-ways. When evidence of an illicit discharge to a OCRC ditch or drain is found, and voluntary correction is not forthcoming, the OCRC will contact the appropriate agency, depending on the nature of the illicit discharge, and work with the OCWRC, Ottawa County Health Department, local unit of government, local policing authority and/or the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) to require elimination. The MDEQ has broad authority to control pollution, either directly or indirectly, to waters of the state provided by Act 451 of 1994.

A summary of indicators typically used to detect certain illicit discharges is included in Appendix 1.

4.0 OUTFALL AND DISCHARGE POINT MAPS AND LISTS

Lists of outfalls and discharge points are kept updated, identifying the location of all outfalls and discharge points the permittee owns and the names of all surface waters of the state that receive stormwater runoff from an MS4. The lists include a discrete identification number, the name of the receiving water, identification as an outfall or discharge point, the latitude and longitude, and the prioritization given to that point for screening purposes. Newly discovered outfalls and discharge points will be identified in the Progress Report. A copy of the current list of outfalls and discharge points is included in Appendix 2.

5.0 TRAINING

Municipal employees, who, as part of their normal job responsibilities, may come into contact with or otherwise observe an illicit discharge or illicit connection, will receive training on recognition and reporting of illicit discharges and connections. This will be accomplished through the IDEP training as identified in Appendix 2D of the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Initiative (SWPPI). Examples of training mechanisms identified in the SWPPI include the review of a Water Pollution Report Form with employees for recording and reporting suspected illicit discharges and an article to be distributed to employees (Appendix 3).

Field personnel will be provided additional training prior to conducting Dry-Weather Screening. Training will include health and safety, documentation and reporting procedures, and visual and olfactory outfall screening procedures. This will be accomplished by hands-on training by a professional engineer or other qualified individual for the field personnel by spring 2013. Alternatively, train-the-trainer sessions will be conducted for each community followed by community training of field personnel, if desired. Additional training will be provided for activities associated with sampling, identifying, and eliminating the source of unauthorized discharges and illicit connections. This will be accomplished, where needed, by hands-on training for the field personnel or by training-the-trainer for each community as appropriate.

6.0 IDENTIFICATION AND ELIMINATION OF EXISTING ILLICIT DISCHARGES

The field work to identify and eliminate illicit discharges and illicit connections will be completed in three steps. The initial step involves *Locating Problem Areas* and will focus on dry-weather screening stormwater outfalls for evidence of illicit discharges. The process is illustrated in Figure 1. The second step will be *Finding the Source* of any illicit discharges and will involve tracing illicit discharges through the stormwater drainage system to the source of the discharge or the illicit connection. This process is illustrated in Figure 2. The final step consists of *Removing/Correcting Illicit Connections*, which will require facilities to disconnect illicit connections and may require enforcement pursuant to existing ordinances and follow-up inspections. Information and test results are recorded on a data sheet, included as Figure 3.

6.1 LOCATING PROBLEM AREAS

Locating the presence of unauthorized discharges will be conducted during the permit cycle using the following techniques:

- Priority areas for detecting non-stormwater discharges will be identified. All permitted outfalls and discharge points will be placed into one of the following priority groups.
    - **High Priority** - Outfalls to waters of the State within the Urbanized Areas that have a history of past illicit discharges, outfalls reported by the public as suspicious, outfalls in areas with a history of illegal dumping, and outfalls serving areas suspected of having illicit discharges.
    - **Medium-High Priority** - Outfalls to waters of the State within the Urbanized Areas that are not in the High Priority group.
    - **Medium Priority** - MS4 to MS4 discharge points within the Urbanized Areas that have a history of past illicit discharges and that serve areas suspected of having illicit discharges due to the land use activities.
    - **Medium-Low Priority** - Outfalls to waters of the State that are within the watershed boundary, but outside of the Urbanized Areas.
    - **Low Priority** - MS4 to MS4 discharge points, within the watershed boundary, that are not in the Medium priority group.

  All High Priority and Medium-High Priority outfalls in Appendix 2 will receive dry-weather screening during the permit cycle. Medium, Medium-Low, and Low Priority outfalls and discharge points will be investigated upon reports of suspected illicit discharges.

- Preferably, dry-weather screening will not commence until at least 48 hours after any rainfall event, but may commence if less than 0.1 inch of rain occurred during the previous 48 hours. Optionally, the field crew will attempt to identify known legitimate dry-weather discharges prior to conducting the field work. Dry-weather screening of all outfalls and MS4-MS4 discharge points will be completed in accordance with the following, and as illustrated as a flowchart in Figure 1:
  - Locate outfall/discharge point, complete data sheet with site information.
  - If new outfall/discharge point, assign identification number and mark location on map
  - If flow apparent, test discharge with field kit for temperature, pH, ammonia, and surfactants, collect additional sample if necessary, and record flow information and test results on data sheet. Readily observable sources of flow to the storm sewer will be noted. For example, landscape irrigation may be misdirected onto impermeable surfaces or irrigation runoff may be entering the drainage system.
    - Assign follow-up prioritization
      - Immediate - report to appropriate agency when discharge found, agency to follow up within one week.
      - High - notify stormwater manager, follow up within 30 days.
      - Low - notify stormwater manager conduct visual observations within 3 months.
    - In follow-up visits, test flow again with field test kits. If test results still indicate follow up necessary, collect additional samples for lab analysis, if necessary, and follow steps in “Finding the Source” section below.
  - If no flow apparent, evaluate the areas for indicators of pollution, i.e. the presence of algae, unusual vegetative growth, staining, bacterial sheens, or debris.
    - If indicators show a sign that pollution may exist, assign follow-up prioritization.
      - Immediate - report to appropriate agency when discharge found, agency to follow up within one week to check for dry-weather flow.
      - High - notify stormwater manager; follow up within 30 days to check for dry-weather flow.
      - Low - notify stormwater manager, conduct visual observations within 3 months for dry-weather flow.
    - In follow-up visits, if flow present, test with field test kits. If test results indicate follow up necessary, collect additional samples for lab analysis, if necessary, and follow steps in “Finding the Source” section below. If no flow is present on immediate or high priority sites, proceed to steps in “Finding the Source” section below.
  - If no dry-weather flow is present and no indication that pollution may exist, close outfall file.
  - If the outfall is submerged or otherwise unsafe to approach, the next available and safe location upstream from the outfall will be screened.

- The results of the Dry-Weather Screening will be ranked according to the guide in Table 1 and then used to locate problem areas and prioritize the locations for finding the source:

    - **Immediate** - If, in the opinion of the field crew, immediate action to address the dry-weather flow is indicated, the field crew will inform the stormwater program manager, or the appropriate agency if health or safety is a concern, record the incident, and ensure that the agency investigates the site within one week. Table 2 is a list of the current stormwater program managers and their contact information.
    - **High** - If flow is present and test results indicate follow up is necessary, but it does not appear to be of immediate concern, the stormwater manager will be notified and follow-up will be pursued within 30 days. If flow is again present, field crews will use field test kits to confirm results, and begin conducting dry-weather screening at accessible points upstream of the discharge until a potential source is found.
    - **Low** - If flow is present but test results indicate the discharge is most likely exempt, (groundwater for example), the site will be observed within 3 months to determine if conditions have changed and repeat testing is warranted.
    - **None** - No follow-up is needed.

- A field form will document the results of outfall screening and testing. A copy of the form is included as Figure 3. A separate form will be utilized for each visit.

- Any new or additional stormwater outfalls or discharge points will be reported in the next Progress Report.

- An illicit discharge reporting process (telephone, email, or other method) has been implemented. A system to log reports, assign them for follow-up, and document results of investigations is included in the process. Experience has shown that the most reliable reports come from municipal personnel; however, this reporting process has been coordinated with the Public Education Plan (PEP) in order to encourage the public to observe and notify county or local governmental units when illegal dumping or illicit discharges are suspected. The Community Reporting Forms are included in Appendix 3.

- Each community's schedule for completing the dry-weather screening will be consistent with the screening priority identification of their outfalls and discharge points as identified in Appendix 2.

6.2 FINDING THE SOURCE

The field investigation necessary to find the source of illicit discharges will be completed based on the results of the efforts in *Locating Problem Areas*. The process is illustrated in a flowchart in Figure 2.

Sites identified during the initial investigation that pose a significant and immediate health or environmental problem (immediate priority) will be brought to the attention of the community's stormwater program manager (Table 2), at the time the discharge is detected, and the appropriate agency or department; such as the Kent or Ottawa County Health Department, an adjacent community, or the MDEQ. That appropriate agency may provide useful information or assistance for the follow-up investigation within one week. Additional sample collection and laboratory analysis for parameters such as, fluoride, copper, phosphorus, ammonia, nitrite, nitrate, and *E. coli* will be considered, depending on the land use and suspected source of the illicit discharge.

The process for tracing illicit discharges that do not pose a significant and immediate health or environmental problem (high priority) to their source will be based on factors such as whether the area is known to have high bacteria problems or vulnerability to bacterial contamination, significant industrial or commercial development, dense housing without sanitary sewer connections, public notification or complaints, and the sensitivity of the receiving stream.

The exact procedure for tracking the illicit discharge will depend on the particular facts of each incident. Generally, if the discharge can be tracked by direct visual observation, the responsible party will be contacted and required to eliminate the discharge. If the source is not obvious, then manhole to manhole observations will be made to identify the source until the responsible party is identified and contacted.

If the source is still not identified through upstream investigations, more sophisticated means will be utilized such as:

- Televising the storm sewers or dye testing premises in the vicinity of a suspected illicit connection.
- Investigation of permissible point sources located upstream of outfalls with documented dry-weather flow.
- Investigation of complaints, reports, or notification of suspected illicit discharges.
- Distribution of letters to residents and businesses alerting them to the problem that is under investigation and soliciting their assistance in finding the source of an illicit discharge.
- A building-by-building evaluation where a potential illicit connection has been isolated to a small area.

If a low priority outfall was found to have similar test results in 3 months, the stormwater program manager will follow the steps outlined above to find the source and determine if the source of flow is exempt or requires the responsible party to be notified and the discharge eliminated.

If the source of an illicit discharge is traced to an MS4 owned by another permittee, the upstream stormwater program manager will be notified within one week of detection unless the severity of the discharge warrants immediate action. The stormwater program managers of all participating communities of the LGRW that own discharge points that enter another MS4 have agreed to coordinate tracking and eliminating illicit discharges in these situations. The agreement is included as Appendix 4. Notification will

consist of a phone call or email to the upstream MS4 stormwater program manager. The notification will include identifying the date and location where the suspected illicit discharge was detected and any other information about the discharge that will assist with the identification of its source. The notification will be recorded and supplemented by transmittal of the IDEP Dry-Weather Screening Data Sheet. The upstream MS4 stormwater program manager will then process the following steps outlined above.

The continuous communication between the community's stormwater program manager, the field crew, and other agencies during the investigation will ensure appropriate and timely actions are taken to find the source of an illicit discharge.

6.3 REMOVING/CORRECTING ILLICIT DISCHARGES AND CONNECTIONS

Those responsible for illicit connections will be notified to correct the problem. The property owner will be required to implement appropriate best management practices (BMPs) to eliminate the potential for illicit discharges, according to the community's ordinance or regulatory mechanism. A follow-up inspection will be conducted to ensure the correction is satisfactorily completed. Persons responsible for illicit discharges, including spill or dumping incidents, will be investigated and required to pursue reasonable clean-up. Where appropriate, they will be required to demonstrate taking measures to ensure that similar incidents will not occur. All illicit discharges should be eliminated as soon as practical taking into consideration the pollution potential of the discharge, the cost of elimination, and the measures needed to eliminate the discharge. Appropriate fines, penalties, and litigation will be considered.

7.0 MINIMIZING SEEPAGE FROM SEPTIC SYSTEMS AND SANITARY SEWERS

Each community will coordinate its IDEP with the local health department to assist in mitigating problems with failing OSDS. An OSDS found during the implementation of the IDEP to be infiltrating into a MS4 will be referred to the local health department.

A formal complaint is recorded when the local health department is informed that a septic system is in a state of failure. The field sanitarian responsible for that area visits the site to verify the condition of the septic system. The homeowner is ordered to pump the septic tanks, apply for a septic permit, and correct the situation in a timely manner if a public health hazard is determined to exist. Failure to comply with an order from the local health department can result in monetary penalties and/or condemnation of the dwelling as unfit for human habitation. The property owner will be encouraged to connect to the sanitary sewer where feasible. If sanitary sewers are not available, short- and long-term solutions for sewage disposal will be determined.

Each community will continue to conduct a preventative maintenance program on its wastewater collection and stormwater systems according to their SWPPIs. The maintenance may involve routine cleaning and/or television inspections that provide good assessments of pipe conditions and locates sites needing repairs. Each community will correct any sanitary system deficiencies identified in order to minimize exfiltration and seepage of sewage into the groundwater or stormwater drainage system. The potential for seepage from sanitary sewers into the stormwater drainage system will be investigated in the process of *Finding the Source* of illicit discharges. Sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) or cross connections to a storm sewer will be corrected as soon as possible or in accordance with a state compliance action.

NOTE: Some communities rely on others for sewerage services and have little direct control over their operation and maintenance.

8.0 SPILL RESPONSE PROCEDURES

Reports by the public or municipal personnel of spills or suspicious discharges will be pursued by trained individuals. Persons responsible for illicit discharges, including spill or dumping incidents, will be investigated and compelled to pursue reasonable clean-up. Where appropriate, they will be required to demonstrate taking measures to ensure similar incidents will not occur. Appropriate fines, penalties, and litigation will be considered.

If a spill or suspicious discharge is found or reported, the stormwater program manager will be notified and initial information will be gathered. Records will be maintained regarding the incident from the first report to resolution. The Community Reporting Form is included in Appendix 3. Based on the initial information the stormwater coordinator will assess the severity of the situation. All reports will be considered an emergency until it is determined to be a non-emergency. Therefore, the Emergency Procedure will be implemented until the stormwater program manager determines that the incident is a non-emergency, at which point the Non-Emergency Procedure will be implemented.

The MDEQ supports the appropriate participation of its employees in emergency response activities for the purpose of protecting public health and the environment. In general, the MDEQ employees do not serve as "first responder" personnel. Rather, the MDEQ staff serve as technical consultants to, and coordinate their activity with, an on-scene incident commander, usually the local fire chief and/or a responsible party. Staff may serve as technical consultants either at the site of the emergency or by telephone or other means of communication.

Emergency Procedure

1) Is public safety at immediate risk? If yes, notify law enforcement and report to National Response Center.
2) Notify and solicit aid from other nearby or affected agencies, e.g. County Water Resources Commissioner and Road Commission. Engage Environmental Response Contractor, if needed.
3) If caused by Municipal Operations, report to the MDEQ District Office or Pollution Emergency Alert System (PEAS) if afterhours. If it is a Part 5 Rules material (oil causing visible sheen or >50 pounds of salt or listed pollutants over certain amounts) also report to 9-1-1.
4) If consistent with personnel safety, attempt to track the spill to its source. Gather more detailed and accurate information. Engage the responsible party. Attempt to persuade responsible party to take primary responsibility for preventing further damage and to initiate clean-up.
5) Attempt to stop the discharge through cooperation with responsible party or by utilizing internal resources or environmental response contractor.
6) Attempt to block the flow of pollutants to prevent further damage and to facilitate capture of spilled material.
7) Consider environmental monitoring to measure damage.

8) Clean up spilled material. Dispose as hazardous waste or liquid industrial waste.
9) Prepare written report to the MDEQ District Office within 10 days. Send a copy to the local health department.
10) Consider requiring the responsible party to implement procedures or to install facilities to ensure the incident does not occur again.
11) Consider civil and/or criminal actions.

Important Phone Numbers

MDEQ Grand Rapids District Office - (616) 356-0500
MDEQ PEAS - 1-800-292-4706 (calls from out-of-state - 1-517-373-7660)
National Response Center - 1-800-424-8802 or www.nrc.uscg.mil/nrchp.html
Kent County Drain Commissioner - (616) 336-3688
Ottawa County Water Resources Commissioner - (616) 994-4530

Potential Environmental Response Contractors

(Inclusion here does not imply any approval or any endorsement or qualifications; contacts are provided for convenience in an emergency only. Communities are encouraged to select a contractor before an emergency situation occurs.)

Young's Environmental Cleanup, Inc. Grand Rapids Area Office 4990 West River Drive, NE Comstock Park, MI 49321 Phone: (616) 785-3374 Fax: (616) 785-3401 24 hr: 1-800-4Youngs (496-8647) http://www.youngsenvironmental.com/	Plummer's Environmental Services, Inc. 10075 Sedroc Industrial Drive Byron Center, MI 49315 Toll Free: 1-800-878-3996 Office: 1-616-877-3930 Fax: 1-616-877-3937 www.plummersenvironmental.com/index.aspx
K&D Industrial Services, Inc. Corporate Offices Romulus, MI 48174 (734) 722-8922 Fax: (734) 729-8220 Grand Rapids Branch 2629 Prairie Road Wyoming, MI 49519 (616) 784-8900 Fax: (616) 534-5782 http://kdigroup.com/	Valley City Environmental Service 1040 Market Avenue, SW Grand Rapids, MI (616) 235-1500 Fax (616) 235-9507 24 hr Emergency Spill Response Numbers Please call 800.678.7035 / 616.235.1500 http://www.valleycityes.com/

Non-Emergency Procedure

1) Determine a level of urgency based on the nature of the spill and likely impact on health, safety, and environment.
2) If consistent with personnel safety, attempt to track the spill to its source. Gather more detailed and accurate information. Engage the responsible party. Attempt to persuade responsible party to take primary responsibility for preventing further damage and to initiate clean-up.
3) Report to the MDEQ District Office, or PEAS if after business hours.
4) Determine if internal resources are sufficient or if an Environmental Response Contractor is needed.
5) Attempt to stop the discharge through cooperation with responsible party or by utilizing internal resources or environmental response contractor.
6) Attempt to block the flow of pollutants to prevent further damage and to facilitate capture.
7) Clean up spilled material. Dispose as hazardous waste or liquid industrial waste.
8) Prepare written report to the MDEQ District Office within 10 days.
9) Consider requiring the responsible party to implement procedures or to install facilities to ensure the incident does not occur again.

9.0 DOCUMENTATION AND REPORTING

Progress Reports will be submitted to the MDEQ on the implementation status of the IDEP. The report will cover all of the decisions, actions, and results performed as part of the IDEP during the previous reporting period. The Progress Report will include:

- Documentation of actions taken to eliminate illicit discharges.
- For significant illicit discharges, a list of pollutants of concern, the estimated volume and load discharged, and the locations of the discharge into both the separate storm sewer system and the receiving water.
- The status of the program to minimize seepage from sanitary sewers and OSDS into the separate storm sewer system.
- Updated outfall mapping.
- A schedule for elimination of illicit connections that have been identified, but have yet to be eliminated.
- An evaluation of the effectiveness of the IDEP program. The evaluation will include:
    - An evaluation of the effectiveness of the detection methods used based on the number of illicit discharges detected.
    - An estimated quantification of the number of discharges prevented or eliminated.
    - An estimated quantification of the volume of illicit flow eliminated.
    - An assessment of the effectiveness of the program overall.

The goal of the program is to have a drainage system with no illicit discharges.

Figures



FIGURE 1: LOCATING PROBLEM AREAS



FIGURE 2: FINDING THE SOURCE

IDEP DRY WEATHER SCREENING DATA SHEET

FTC&H

GENERAL **Outfall ID** _____

Date _____ Time _____ Air Temp _____ °F Receiving Water _____

Crew Name _____ Date of Last Rain _____ ____ Clear/Sunny

Photograph # _____ _____ _____ ____ Partly Cloudy

GPS Coordinates _____ °N _____ °W (decimal degrees) ____ Overcast

TYPE OF OUTFALL

Material & Size

____ (in) Concrete ____ (in) PVC
____ (in) RCP ____ (in) Metal
____ (in) CMP ____ (in) Clay
____ (in) CPP ____ (ft) Ditch
____ (in) Other-describe below

Condition

____ Like New
____ Good
____ Broken
____ Impaired

Flow Observations

____ (in) Depth of flow in outfall
____ Standing water in pipe, no flow
____ Trace, insufficient to quantify
____ Dry, no water present

If evidence of Illicit Connection, describe below

FLOW OBSERVATIONS (skip if no water present in outfall)

Odor	___ None	___ Musty	___ Sewage	___ Rotten Egg	___ Gasoline	___ Oil	___ Other**	
Color	___ Clear	___ Light Brown	___ Dark Brown	___ Green	___ Grey	___ Black	___ Other**	
Turbidity	___ Clear	___ Slightly	___ Moderate	___ Highly	___ Opaque		___ Other**	
Floatables	___ None	___ Trash	___ Sewage	___ Foam	___ Oil Sheen		___ Other**	

OUTFALL AREA OBSERVATIONS

Deposits/Stains	___ None	___ Mineral	___ Sediment	___ Oily	___ Grease	___ Other**
Vegetation	___ None	___ Normal	___ Excessive	___ Algae		___ Other**
Debris	___ None	___ Tissue	___ Other**			

**If Other, include comments

OTHER OBSERVATIONS NEAR OUTFALL

Pollution Source	___ Debris/Trash	____ Construction Runoff	____ Road Crossing
	___ Septic System	____ Streambank Erosion	____ Gully Erosion
	___ Upland Source	____ Tile Outlet	____ Other**
Stream Bottom	___ Cobble/Gravel	____ Sand (coarse)	____ Muck/Silt (fine)
	___ Hardpan (solid clay)	____ Artificial	____ Other**

**If Other, include comments

FIELD TEST KIT ANALYSES

Parameter	Value	Units
pH	_____	SU
Surfactants	_____	H, M, L, or None
Ammonia	_____	mg/L
Temperature	_____	°F

OTHER ANALYSES

Parameter	Value	Units	Parameter	Value	Units
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Follow Up ___ None ___ High Priority ___ Other - explain ___ Additional information on attached sheet
___ Low Priority ___ Immediate

Comments _____

☐ Check if more comments are on the back

Figure 2

Tables

Table 1 - Field Testing Results Evaluation Guidelines

Parameter	Test Range	None	Low	High	Immediate
Temperature ºF	32-100	44 - 75	40 - 43 or 76 - 85	32 - 39 or 86 - 99	<32 or >100
pH	0-14	6 - 9.5	5 - 6 or 9.5 - 10.5	4 - 5 or 10.5 - 11	<4 or >11
Surfactants	detect presence	none	low or medium	high	
Ammonia ppm	0-6	0 - 1	1 - 3	3 - 6	>6

Table 2 – Storm Water Program Managers

Permittee	Storm Water Program Manager	Telephone Email
Allendale Charter Township	Mr. Jerry Alkema, Township Supervisor	(616) 895-6295 ext. 12 jerryalkema@allendale-twp.org
Cascade Charter Township	Mr. Steve Peterson Township Planner	(616) 949-1500 speterson@cascadetwp.com
East Grand Rapids, City of	Mr. Ken Feldt, Public Works Director	(616) 940-4817 kfeldt@eastgr.org
Ferrysburg, City of	Mr. Craig Bessinger, City Manager	(616) 842-5803 cbessinger@ferrysburg.org
Forest Hills Public Schools	Mr. Ron Boezwinkle, Director of Operations	(616) 493.8780 rboezwin@fhps.net
Georgetown Charter Township	Mr. Mike Hatkowski, Operations Coordinator	(616) 662-2800 mhatkowski@georgetown-mi.gov
Grand Haven, City of	Mr. William Hunter, Director of Public Works	(616) 855-5809 bhunter@grandhaven.org
Grand Rapids Charter Township	Mr. RJ Versluys Deputy Chief	(616) 361-7391 bversluys@grandrapidstwp.org
Grand Rapids, City of	Ms. Carrie Rivette Project Engineer	(616) 456-3057 crivette@grcity.us
Grandville, City of	Mr. Ron Carr, Director of Public Works	(616) 538-1990 carrr@cityofgrandville.com
Hudsonville, City of	Mr. Dutch Besteman, Public Works Superintendent	(616) 669-0200 ext. 1424 dbestema@hudsonville.org
Kent County Drain Commissioner and Admin.	Mr. Douglas Sporte, Deputy Drain Commissioner	(616) 336-3688 Doug.Sporte@Kentcountymi.gov
Kent County Road Commission	Mr. Wayne Harrall, Director of Engineering	(616) 242-6914 wharrall@kentcountyroads.net
Kentwood, City of	Mr. Ronald Woods, Director of Public Works	(616) 554-0824 woodsr@ci.kentwood.mi.us
Plainfield Charter Township	Mr. Rick Solle, Director of Public Services	(616) 363-9660 soller@plainfieldchartertwp.org
Rockford, City of	Mr. Jamie Davies, Public Services Director	616-893-0938 jdavies@rockford.mi.us
Sparta, Village of	Mr. Miles Ring, DPW Superintendent	(616) 262-7901 dpwdept@spartami.org
Spring Lake, Village of	Ms. Chris Burns Village Manager	(616) 842-1393 ext. 1002 christine@springlakevillage.org
Walker, City of	Ms. Bonnie Broadwater, Engineering Programs Coordinator	(616) 791-6327 bbroadwa@ci.walker.mi.us
Wyoming, City of	Mr. Aaron Vis, Environmental Services Inspector	(616) 261-3593 avis@wyomingmi.gov

Appendix 1

Appendix 1

Excerpts from
Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination - A Guidance Manual for Program Development and Technical Assessments
By Edward Brown and Deb Caraco, Center for Watershed Protection, Ellicott City, Maryland 21043
and Robert Pitt, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35487
October 2004

Ammonia

Ammonia is a good indicator of sewage, since its concentration is much higher there than in groundwater or tap water. High ammonia concentrations may also indicate liquid wastes from some industrial sites. Ammonia is relatively simple and safe to analyze. Some challenges include the tendency for ammonia to volatilize (i.e., turn into a gas and become non-conservative) and its potential generation from non-human sources, such as pets or wildlife.

Boron

Boron is an element present in the compound borax, which is often found in detergent and soap formulations. Consequently, boron is a good potential indicator for both laundry wash water and sewage. Preliminary research from Alabama supports this contention, particularly when it is combined with other detergent indicators, such as surfactants (Pitt, IDDE Project Support Material). Boron may not be a useful indicator everywhere in the country since it may be found at elevated levels in groundwater in some regions and is a common ingredient in water softeners products. Program managers should collect data on boron concentrations in local tap water and groundwater sources to confirm whether it will be an effective indicator of illicit discharges.

Chlorine

Chlorine is used throughout the country to disinfect tap water, except where private wells provide the water supply. Chlorine concentrations in tap water tend to be significantly higher than most other discharge types. Unfortunately, chlorine is extremely volatile, and even moderate levels of organic materials can cause chlorine levels to drop below detection levels. Because chlorine is non-conservative, it is not a reliable indicator, although if very high chlorine levels are measured, it is a strong indication of a water line break, swimming pool discharge, or industrial discharge from a chlorine bleaching process.

Color

Color is a numeric computation of the color observed in a water quality sample, as measured in cobalt-platinum units (APHA, 1998). Both industrial liquid wastes and sewage tend to have elevated color values. Unfortunately, some “clean” flow types can also have high color values. Field testing by Pitt (IDDE Project Support Material) found high color values associated for all contaminated flows, but also many uncontaminated flows, which yielded numerous false

positives. Overall, color may be a good first screen for problem outfalls, but needs to be supplemented by other indicator parameters.

Conductivity

Conductivity, or specific conductance, is a measure of how easily electricity can flow through a water sample. Conductivity is often strongly correlated with the total amount of dissolved material in water, known as Total Dissolved Solids. The utility of conductivity as an indicator depends on whether concentrations are elevated in "natural" or clean waters. In particular, conductivity is a poor indicator of illicit discharge in estuarine waters or in northern regions where deicing salts are used (both have high conductivity readings). Field testing in Alabama suggests that conductivity has limited value to detect sewage or wash water (Pitt, IDDE Project Support Material). Conductivity has some value in detecting industrial discharges that can exhibit extremely high conductivity readings. Conductivity is extremely easy to measure with field probes, so it has the potential to be a useful supplemental indicator in subwatersheds that are dominated by industrial land uses.

Detergents

Most illicit discharges have elevated concentration of detergents. Sewage and washwater discharges contain detergents used to clean clothes or dishes, whereas liquid wastes contain detergents from industrial or commercial cleansers. The nearly universal presence of detergents in illicit discharges, combined with their absence in natural waters or tap water, makes them an excellent indicator. Research has revealed three indicator parameters that measure the level of detergent or its components-- surfactants, fluorescence, and surface tension (Pitt, IDDE Project Support Material). Surfactants have been the most widely applied and transferable of the three indicators. Fluorescence and surface tension show promise, but only limited field testing has been performed on these more experimental parameters. Methods and laboratory protocols for each of the three detergent indicator parameters are reviewed in Appendix F2.

E. coli, Enterococci and Total Coliform

Each of these bacteria is found at very high concentrations in sewage compared to other flow types, and is a good indicator of sewage or septage discharges, unless pet or wildlife sources exist in the subwatershed. Overall, bacteria are good supplemental indicators and can be used to find "problem" streams or outfalls that exceed public health standards. Relatively simple analytical methods are now available to test for bacteria indicators, although they still suffer from two monitoring constraints. The first is the relatively long analysis time (18-24 hours) to get results, and the second is that the waste produced by the tests may be classified as a biohazard and require special disposal techniques.

Fluorescence

Laundry detergents are highly fluorescent because optical brighteners are added to the formula to produce "brighter whites." Optical brighteners are the reason that white clothes appear to have a bluish color when placed under a fluorescent light. Fluorescence is a very sensitive indicator of the presence of detergents in discharges, using a fluorometer to measure fluorescence at specific wavelengths of light. Since no chemicals are needed for testing, fluorometers have minimal safety and waste disposal concerns. Some technical concerns do limit the utility of fluorescence as an indicator of illicit discharges. The concerns include the presence of fluorescence in non-illicit flow types such as irrigation water, the considerable variation of fluorescence between different detergent brands, and the lack of a readily standard or benchmark concentration for

optical brighteners. For example, Pitt (IDDE Project Support Material) measured fluorescence in mg/L of Tide™ brand detergent, and found the degree of fluorescence varied regionally, temporally, and between specific detergent formulations. Given these current limitations, fluorescence is best combined with other detergent indicators such as surfactants. Appendix F3 should be consulted for more detailed information on analytical methods and experimental field testing using fluorescence as an indicator parameter.

Fluoride

Fluoride is added to drinking water supplies in most communities to improve dental health, and normally found at a concentration of two parts per million in tapwater. Consequently, fluoride is an excellent conservative indicator of tap water discharges or leaks from water supply pipes that end up in the storm drain. Fluoride is obviously not a good indicator in communities that do not fluoridate drinking water, or where individual wells provide drinking water. One key constraint is that the reagent used in the recommended analytical method for fluoride is considered a hazardous waste, and must be disposed of properly.

Hardness

Hardness measures the positive ions dissolved in water and primarily include magnesium and calcium in natural waters, but are sometimes influenced by other metals. Field testing by Pitt (IDDE Project Support Material) suggests that hardness has limited value as an indicator parameter, except when values are extremely high or low (which may signal the presence of some liquid wastes). Hardness may be applicable in communities where hardness levels are elevated in groundwater due to karst or limestone terrain. In these regions, hardness can help distinguish natural groundwater flows present in outfalls from tap water and other flow types.

pH

Most discharge flow types are neutral, having a pH value around 7, although groundwater concentrations can be somewhat variable. pH is a reasonably good indicator for liquid wastes from industries, which can have very high or low pH (ranging from 3 to 12). The pH of residential wash water tends to be rather basic (pH of 8 or 9). The pH of a discharge is very simple to monitor in the field with low cost test strips or probes. Although pH data is often not conclusive by itself, it can identify problem outfalls that merit follow-up investigations using more effective indicators.

Potassium

Potassium is found at relatively high concentrations in sewage, and extremely high concentrations in many industrial process waters. Consequently, potassium can act as a good first screen for industrial wastes, and can also be used in combination with ammonia to distinguish wash waters from sanitary wastes. (See Chapter 12). Simple field probes can detect potassium at relatively high concentrations (5 mg/L), whereas more complex colorimetric tests are needed to detect potassium concentrations lower than 5 mg/L.

Surface Tension

Surfactants remove dirt particles by reducing the surface tension of the bubbles formed in laundry water when it is agitated. Reduced surface tension makes dirt particles less likely to settle on a solid surface (e.g., clothes or dishes) and become suspended instead on the water's surface. The visible manifestation of reduced surface tension is the formation of foam or bubbles on the water surface. Pitt (IDDE Project Support Material) tested a very simple procedure to

measure surface tension that quantifies the formation of foam and bubbles in sample bottles. Initial laboratory tests suggest that surface tension is a good indicator of surfactants, but only when they are present at relatively high concentrations. Section F3 provides a more detailed description of the surface tension measurement procedure.

Surfactants

Surfactants are the active ingredient in most commercial detergents, and are typically measured as Methyl Blue Active Substances (or MBAS). They are a synthetic replacement for soap, which builds up deposits on clothing over time. Since surfactants are not found in nature, but are always present in detergents, they are excellent indicators of sewage and wash waters. The presence of surfactants in cleansers, emulsifiers and lubricants also makes them an excellent indicator of industrial or commercial liquid wastes. In fact, research by Pitt (IDDE Project Support Material) found that detergents were an excellent indicator of "contaminated" discharges in Alabama (i.e., discharges that were not tap water or groundwater). Several analytical methods are available to monitor surfactants. Unfortunately, the reagents used involve toluene, chloroform, or benzene, each of which is considered hazardous waste with a potential human health risk. The most common analysis method uses chloroform as a reagent, and is recommended because it is relatively safer when compared to other reagents.

Turbidity

Turbidity is a quantitative measure of cloudiness in water, and is normally measured with a simple field probe. While turbidity itself cannot always distinguish between contaminated flow types, it is a potentially useful screening indicator to determine if the discharge is contaminated (i.e., not composed of tap water or groundwater).

Table 39: Indicator Parameters Used to Detect Illicit Discharges					
Parameter	Discharge Types It Can Detect				
	Sewage	Washwater	Tap Water	Industrial or Commercial Liquid Wastes	Laboratory/Analytical Challenges
Ammonia	●	◉	○	◉	Can change into other nitrogen forms as the flow travels to the outfall
Boron	◉	◉	○	N/A	
Chlorine	○	○	○	◉	High chlorine demand in natural waters limits utility to flows with very high chlorine concentrations
Color	◉	◉	○	◉	
Conductivity	◉	◉	○	◉	Ineffective in saline waters
Detergents – Surfactants	●	●	○	◉	Reagent is a hazardous waste
E. coli Enterococci Total Coliform	◉	○	○	○	24-hour wait for results Need to modify standard monitoring protocols to measure high bacteria concentrations
Fluoride*	○	○	●	◉	Reagent is a hazardous waste Exception for communities that do not fluoridate their tap water
Hardness	◉	◉	◉	◉	
pH	○	◉	○	◉	
Potassium	◉	○	○	●	May need to use two separate analytical techniques, depending on the concentration
Turbidity	◉	◉	○	◉	

● Can almost always (>80% of samples) distinguish this discharge from clean flow types (e.g., tap water or natural water). For tap water, can distinguish from natural water.
◉ Can sometimes (>50% of samples) distinguish this discharge from clean flow types depending on regional characteristics, or can be helpful in combination with another parameter
○ Poor indicator. Cannot reliably detect illicit discharges, or cannot detect tap water
N/A: Data are not available to assess the utility of this parameter for this purpose.
Data sources: Pitt (this study)
*Fluoride is a poor indicator when used as a single parameter, but when combined with additional parameters (such as detergents, ammonia and potassium), it can almost always distinguish between sewage and washwater.

Appendix 2

Grand Haven Outfalls and Discharge Points 2018

Outfall ID#	Location	Point of Discharge	Latitude	Longitude	Priority	Outfall or Discharge Point	Ultimate Outfall
GHC2001	3rd	GRAND RIVER	43.0698013	-86.2266006	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2005	Escanaba Park	GRAND RIVER	43.0602989	-86.2390976	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2012	3rd	GRAND RIVER	43.0703011	-86.225502	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2103	Beacon St	GRAND RIVER	43.0694008	-86.2185974	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2105	Grand Isle Dr	GRAND RIVER	43.0709	-86.2161026	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2106	Railroad Tracks	GRAND RIVER	43.0704002	-86.223999	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2206	East End	GRAND RIVER	43.0621986	-86.2022018	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2209	East Grand River Park	GRAND RIVER	43.0632019	-86.2033997	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2703	Gidley	GIDLEYS BAYOU	43.0551987	-86.1953964	Medium High	Outfall	GIDLEYS BAYOU
GHC2704	Waverly Ave	GIDLEYS BAYOU	43.0541992	-86.1959	Medium High	Outfall	GIDLEYS BAYOU
GHC2705	Friant South	GIDLEYS BAYOU	43.0544014	-86.1986008	Medium High	Outfall	GIDLEYS BAYOU
GHC2709	Beechtree	WARBER DRAIN	43.0508003	-86.2049026	Medium High	Outfall	WARBER DRAIN
GHC2801	Oaks, Hopkins	WARBER DRAIN	43.0511017	-86.2080994	Medium High	Outfall	WARBER DRAIN
GHC2803	Oaks, Hopkins	WARBER DRAIN	43.0509987	-86.2090988	Medium High	Outfall	WARBER DRAIN
GHC2903	Dog Beach	LAKE MICHIGAN	43.0469017	-86.2427979	Medium High	Outfall	LAKE MICHIGAN
GHC2714	Colfax	GRAND RIVER	43.0569992	-86.194603	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2713	Grant	GRAND RIVER	43.0581017	-86.1959991	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2211	Frient North	GRAND RIVER	43.0601997	-86.1993027	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2210	Franklin East	GRAND RIVER	43.0603981	-86.200798	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2716	Beechtree	WARBER DRAIN	43.0512009	-86.2053986	Medium High	Outfall	WARBER DRAIN
GHC2715	Beechwood	GIDLEYS BAYOU	43.0539017	-86.2054977	Medium High	Outfall	GIDLEYS BAYOU
GHC2111	Griffin	GRAND RIVER	43.0670013	-86.2108002	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2804	Orchard, Griffin	WARBER DRAIN	43.0486984	-86.2104034	Medium High	Outfall	WARBER DRAIN
GHC2108	Ferry	GRAND RIVER	43.0676994	-86.2156982	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2021	Corps of Engineers	GRAND RIVER	43.0620003	-86.2368011	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2004	Washington	GRAND RIVER	43.0652008	-86.2350006	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2003	Chinook Pier	GRAND RIVER	43.0679016	-86.232399	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2905	City Beach	LAKE MICHIGAN	43.0509987	-86.2451019	Medium High	Outfall	LAKE MICHIGAN
GHC2904	Bilmar South	LAKE MICHIGAN	43.0486984	-86.2438965	Medium High	Outfall	LAKE MICHIGAN
GHC2022	Coast Guard West.	GRAND RIVER	43.0601006	-86.2397003	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2023	Coast Guard Parking Lot	GRAND RIVER	43.0609016	-86.2377014	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2906	Fishermen Parking Lot	GRAND RIVER	43.0586014	-86.2453995	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2024	Franklin West	GRAND RIVER	43.0640984	-86.2353973	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2911	Woodlawn	OVERLAND FLOW	43.0547981	-86.2313995	Medium High	Outfall	OVERLAND FLOW
GHC2910	Dunkan Woods Lake Ave.	OVERLAND FLOW	43.0546989	-86.2341995	Medium High	Outfall	OVERLAND FLOW
GHC2909	Cemetery Lake Ave. East	OVERLAND FLOW	43.0530014	-86.2394028	Medium High	Outfall	OVERLAND FLOW
GHC2908	Cemetery Lake Ave. West	OVERLAND FLOW	43.0527	-86.240097	Medium High	Outfall	OVERLAND FLOW
GHC2912	Cemetery Grand Ave.	OVERLAND FLOW	43.0479012	-86.2359009	Medium High	Outfall	OVERLAND FLOW
GHC2720	Marion	WARBER DRAIN	43.0523987	-86.2006989	Medium High	Outfall	WARBER DRAIN
GHC2913	Doris Ct	OVERLAND FLOW	43.0451012	-86.2404022	Medium High	Outfall	OVERLAND FLOW
GHC2026	Leggatt North	OVERLAND FLOW	43.0589981	-86.2345963	Medium High	Outfall	OVERLAND FLOW
GHC2907	Leggatt South	OVERLAND FLOW	43.0575981	-86.2347031	Medium High	Outfall	OVERLAND FLOW
GHC2719	Tiles	WARBER DRAIN	43.0514984	-86.1980972	Medium High	Outfall	WARBER DRAIN
GHC2721	Eaton	SCHULTZ DRAIN	43.0489998	-86.2009964	Medium High	Outfall	SCHULTZ DRAIN
GHC2718	Gidley Bridge West	GIDLEYS BAYOU	43.0544014	-86.1964035	Medium High	Outfall	GIDLEYS BAYOU
GHC2717	Gidley Bridge East	GIDLEYS BAYOU	43.0545006	-86.1962967	Medium High	Outfall	GIDLEYS BAYOU
GHC2112	Draw Bridge	GRAND RIVER	43.0698013	-86.2197037	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2025	Farmers Market	GRAND RIVER	43.0681992	-86.2313995	Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2722	Dykahouse	SCHULTZ DRAIN	43.0461006	-86.197998	Medium High	Outfall	SCHULTZ DRAIN

Outfall ID#	Location	Point of Discharge	Latitude	Longitude	Priority	Outfall or Discharge Point	Ultimate Outfall
GHC3401	Industrial Park	WETLAND/POTTAWATTOMIE BAYOU	43.0334053	-86.190834	Medium High	Outfall	WETLAND/POTTAWATTOMIE BAYOU
GHC3402	Robrick	WETLAND/POTTAWATTOMIE BAYOU	43.032135	-86.1877747	Medium High	Outfall	WETLAND/POTTAWATTOMIE BAYOU
GHC2212	Beacon St	MDOT ROW	43.0446	-86.22	Medium Low	Discharge Point	GRAND RIVER
GHC2212	Beacon St	MDOT ROW	43.0446	-86.2206	Medium Low	Discharge Point	GRAND RIVER
GHC2212	Beacon St	MDOT ROW	43.0517	-86.225	Medium Low	Discharge Point	GRAND RIVER
GHC2212	Beacon St	MDOT ROW	43.0536	-86.228	Medium Low	Discharge Point	GRAND RIVER
GHC2212	Beacon St	MDOT ROW	43.0572	-86.2207	Medium Low	Discharge Point	GRAND RIVER
GHC2212	Beacon St	MDOT ROW	43.0582	-86.2208	Medium Low	Discharge Point	GRAND RIVER
GHC2212	Beacon St	MDOT ROW	43.0589	-86.2208	Medium Low	Discharge Point	GRAND RIVER
GHC2212	Beacon St	MDOT ROW	43.061	-86.2206	Medium Low	Discharge Point	GRAND RIVER
GHC2212	Taylor Ave	MDOT ROW	43.0619	-86.2205	Medium Low	Discharge Point	GRAND RIVER
GHC2212	Sheldon St	MDOT ROW	43.0626	-86.2203	Medium Low	Discharge Point	GRAND RIVER
GHC2212	Beacon St	MDOT ROW	43.0638	-86.2207	Medium Low	Discharge Point	GRAND RIVER
GHC2212	Beacon St	MDOT ROW	43.0648	-86.2205	Medium Low	Discharge Point	GRAND RIVER
GHC2002	Hopkins St	GRAND RIVER			Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC2804	Orchard, Griffin	WARBER DRAIN			Medium High	Outfall	WARBER DRAIN
GHC3400	Hays St	WETLAND/POTTAWATTOMIE BAYOU			Medium High	Outfall	WETLAND/POTTAWATTOMIE BAYOU
GHC2801	Oaks, Hopkins	GRAND RIVER			Medium High	Outfall	GRAND RIVER
GHC3403	Harbor Dr	LAKE MICHIGAN			Medium High	Outfall	LAKE MICHIGAN

Appendix 3

WATER POLLUTION REPORT FORM

FOR CITY OF GRAND HAVEN EMPLOYEES

Activities to Report

As you perform your routine duties, if you observe anyone (landscapers, contractors, waste haulers, residents, etc.) dumping anything into a storm drain, report it**.** Storm drains lead directly to nearby streams and lakes, usually without any type of treatment. City of Grand Haven is regulated by the state and federal governments, and we can be fined for failure to prevent and reduce the amount of pollutants entering the storm drain system. Motor vehicle fluids, paint and chemicals, yard waste, restaurant wastes, etc. should be disposed of properly. Only rainwater should enter a storm drain.

Description of Pollution

Date(s) pollution was observed:_____

Location of observed pollution (address, street):

_____

Name of person(s) or company involved (if known):

_____

Please describe the pollution (include photographs if possible):

_____

_____

_____

_____

Date this report was submitted: _____

Your Contact Information

Name, Title:_____

Phone: _____

Email: _____

How to Return Form

To report dumping, please fill out this report completely. Return it in one of four ways to our Storm Water Coordinator:

1. **Email:** bhunter@grandhaven.org
2. **Fax:** 616-847-3470
3. **Phone:** 616-847-3493
4. **Mail:** William Hunter, 519 Washington, Grand Haven, MI 49417

WATER POLLUTION REPORT FORM

FOR CITY OF GRAND HAVEN EMPLOYEES

EXAMPLES OF POLLUTION ENTERING THE STORM SEWER SYSTEM

Excessive sediment from construction site.

Sediment flowing into drain from soil piles.

Soap and dirt from vehicle washing.

Trash from open dumpster.

Grease and soap flowing to drain.

Grass clippings blown into drain.

Appendix 4

IDEP Inter-jurisdictional Cooperation

State and federal law requires regulated Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s) to have effective programs to find and eliminate illicit discharges to their systems (Illicit Discharge Elimination Plan). In some cases one community's MS4 discharges into another community's MS4.

We, as Storm Water Program Managers for our communities, recognize this requirement. We agree to work cooperatively with other MS4 communities where an illicit discharge is suspected to originate across our jurisdictional boundaries.

By signing this agreement, our community commits to investigating dry-weather discharges that appear at outfalls. We accept responsibility for notifying upstream owners if an illicit discharge is found to enter our MS4, and commit to abating discharges that are found to be leaving our MS4. These activities will be conducted pursuant to the procedures and timelines identified in the IDEP.

William D Hart

NAME

Storm Water Program Manager for City of Grand Haven